UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,701	04/05/2006	Andrzej Farnik	287256US6PCT	1869
	7590 04/10/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BARNES-BULLOCK, CRYSTAL JOY	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2121		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/574,701	FARNIK, ANDRZEJ	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	Oryotal of Barries Barresk	- '- '	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed very many reply must be filed very m	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (OTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Americanient (1	10L-32+).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10.	on of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Crystal J. Barnes-Bullo Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner interprets the center-to-center distances A1 at the input and A2 at the output as the absolute separation value of the leveling rolls. The Bonnefort et al. reference discloses [i]t is thus possible, by acting separately or simultaneously on screw jacks 64 and 66, to adjust the imbrications of rollers at the input and output of multi-roller assembly 5 in order to set up a progressively degressive imbrication of the rollers in the strip feed direction, as shown in FIG. 3. In general, by acting in a concerted way on adjustment jacks 64 and 66, it is possible to adjust the center-to-center distances A1 at the input and A2 at the output of the planisher in order to modify the intensity and, possibly, the number of reversed bendings, in the manner; and shown in FIG. 3. Each positioning means 71 to 75, comprises a regulator receiving a positioning order furnished by a process control system 8 on a first input 71a to 75a, and, and on a second input 71b to 75b, a signal furnished by a measuring device M1 to M5 indicating the respective positions of the corresponding devices at all times, enabling the regulator to immediately command the correction needed in order to adapt the effect of the device in question to the command given at the same time by the automatic system 8. Since these corrections are made while the strip is winding, the operator acts, preferably, on the less sensitive actuators that provide much larger adjustment latitude. In particular, the operator can first of all vary imbrication A2 at the output of the multi-roller assembly to increase or reduce the number and intensity of degressive bendings and, if the need arises, to act on imbrication A1 at the input, this adjustment being more sensitive. The detection of longitudinal or transversal camber faults can lead to a correction on the imbrications, in priority on imbrication A2 on the downside of multi-roller assembly 5 and, if the need arises, on imbrication A1 at the input or on the imbrication of the anti-transversal camber device 4 when this is used.